

S. H. SAKER, K. LOGAARASI and V. SADHASIVAM

## Forced oscillation of conformable fractional partial delay differential equations with impulses

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we establish some interval oscillation criteria for impulsive conformable fractional partial delay differential equations with a forced term. The main results will be obtained by employing Riccati technique. Our results extend and improve some results reported in the literature for the classical differential equations without impulses. An example is provided to illustrate the relevance of the new theorems.

**1. Introduction.** In recent years, many researchers found that fractional differential equations are more accurate in describing the mathematical modeling of systems and processes in the field of chemical processes, electrodynamics of computer medium, polymer rheology, mathematical biology, etc. The applications of fractional calculus to biomedical problems are done in the areas of membrane biophysics and polymer viscoelasticity, where the experimentally observed power law dynamics for current-voltage and stress-strain relationships are concisely captured by fractional order differential equations. But the most frequently used definitions involve integration which is nonlocal: Riemann–Liouville derivative & Caputo derivative [5, 9, 13, 22, 27]. Fractional calculus is the study of derivatives and integrals of non-integer order and is the generalized form of classical derivatives and integrals. Those fractional derivatives in the fractional calculus have seemed complicated and lacked some basic properties, like the product rule and the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C10, 34A08, 34A37.

*Key words and phrases.* Oscillation, fractional differential equations, impulse.

chain rule. But in 2014, Khalil et al. [12] introduced a new fractional derivative called the conformable derivative which closely resembles the classical derivative.

In order to describe dynamics of populations subject to abrupt changes as well as other phenomena such as harvesting, diseases, and so forth, some authors have used impulsive differential systems to describe the models. For the basic theory on impulsive differential equations, the reader can refer to the monographs and references [2, 16, 17, 20]. The study of the qualitative behavior of partial differential equations has rapidly expanded in the last few decades, see for example [11, 14, 15, 24, 25, 26, 29, 30, 32] and the references they are cited. In particular, the problem of interval oscillation criteria for integer and fractional order impulsive differential equations have been investigated by few authors, we refer the reader to [3, 4, 19, 28, 31] and the references cited therein.

Recently, the theory of fractional differential equations has been intensively studied by many authors. For example, we mention to the problem of anomalous diffusion [7, 8], the nonlinear oscillation of earthquake which can be modeled with fractional derivative [6], and fluid-dynamic traffic model with fractional derivatives [10] also can be used to eliminate the deficiency arising from the assumption to continuum traffic flow and many other, see also [18, 23] and the references they are cited for recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics. Following this trend, our aim in this paper is to study oscillation properties of partial differential equation of fractional order of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} \left[ r(t)g \left( \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t) \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i(x, t) f_i(u(x, t - \sigma)) \\ & = a(t)\Delta u(x, t) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t)\Delta u(x, t - \rho_j) + F(x, t), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad t \neq t_k, \quad t \geq t_0, \\ & u(x, t_k^+) = \alpha_k(x, t_k, u(x, t_k)), \\ & \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t_k^+) = \beta_k \left( x, t_k, \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t_k) \right), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \equiv G, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with a piecewise smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ , and  $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha}$  denotes the conformable partial fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Equation (1.1) is the enhancement with the boundary condition

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \gamma} + \mu(x, t)u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where  $\gamma$  is the outer surface normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\mu(x, t) \in C(\partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$ .

In this paper, we assume that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $r(t) \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+, (0, +\infty))$ ,  $q_i(x, t) \in C(\bar{G}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $q_i(t) = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q_i(x, t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $f_i \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  are convex in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with  $uf_i(u) > 0$ ,  $uf_i(u) > 0$  and  $\frac{f_i(u)}{u} \geq \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\frac{f_i(u)}{u} \geq k_i > 0$  for  $u \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $t - \sigma < t$ ,  $t - \rho_j < t$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $F \in C(\bar{G}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is convex in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with  $ug(u) > 0$ ,  $g(u) \leq \theta u$  for  $u \neq 0$ ,  $g^{-1} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is continuous function with  $ug^{-1}(u) > 0$  for  $u \neq 0$  and there exists a positive constant  $\eta$  such that  $g^{-1}(uv) \leq \eta g^{-1}(u)g^{-1}(v)$  for  $uv \neq 0$ .
- (H<sub>3</sub>)  $a(t), a_j(t) \in PC(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $PC$  represents the class of functions which are piecewise continuous in  $t$  with discontinuities of first kind only at  $t = t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and left continuous at  $t = t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .
- (H<sub>4</sub>)  $u(x, t)$  and its derivative  $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t)$  are piecewise continuous in  $t$  with discontinuities of first kind only at  $t = t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and left continuous at  $t = t_k$ ,  $u(x, t_k) = u(x, t_k^-)$ ,  $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t_k) = \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t_k^-)$  and  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t_k = +\infty$ .
- (H<sub>5</sub>)  $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in PC(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and there exist positive constants  $a_k, a_k^*, b_k, b_k^*$  such that  $a_k^* \leq a_k \leq b_k^* \leq b_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and

$$a_k^* \leq \frac{\alpha_k(x, t_k, u(x, t_k))}{u(x, t_k)} \leq a_k, \quad b_k^* \leq \frac{\beta_k(x, t_k, \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t_k))}{\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t_k)} \leq b_k.$$

- (H<sub>6</sub>) For any  $T \geq 0$  there exist intervals  $[c_1, d_1]$  and  $[c_2, d_2]$  contained in  $[T, \infty)$  such that  $c_1 < d_1 \leq d_1 + \sigma \leq c_2 < d_2$ ,  $c_l, d_l \notin \{t_k\}$ ,  $l = 1, 2$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $r(t) > 0$ ,  $q(t) \geq 0$ , for  $t \in [c_1 - \sigma, d_1] \cup [c_2 - \sigma, d_2]$  and  $F(t)$  has different signs in  $[c_1 - \sigma, d_1]$  and  $[c_2 - \sigma, d_2]$ . For instance we assume that  $F(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [c_1 - \sigma, d_1]$  and  $F(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [c_2 - \sigma, d_2]$ .

For simplicity, we denote

$$I(s) := \max \{j : t_0 < t_j < s\}, \quad r_l := \max \{r(t) : t \in [c_l, d_l]\}, \quad l = 1, 2,$$

$$L_v(c_l, d_l) = \{v \in C^\alpha[c_l, d_l], \quad v(t) \not\equiv 0, \quad v(c_l) = v(d_l) = 0, \quad l = 1, 2\}.$$

For two constants  $c, d \neq t_k$  with  $c < d$  and a function  $\psi \in C([c, d], \mathbb{R})$ , we define the operator  $\Theta : C([c, d], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Theta_c^d[\psi] = \begin{cases} 0, & I(c) = I(d) \\ \psi(t_{I(c)+1})\theta(c) + \sum_{i=I(c)+2}^{I(d)} \psi(t_i)\varepsilon(t_i), & I(c) < I(d), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\theta(c) = \frac{a_{I(c)+1} - b_{I(c)+1}}{a_{I(c)+1}(t_{I(c)+1}^\alpha - c^\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(t_i) = \frac{a_i - b_i}{a_i(t_i^\alpha - t_{i-1}^\alpha)}.$$

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present some definitions and results that will be needed later. In Section 3, we establish some interval oscillation criteria for the problem (1.1)–(1.2). An example to illustrate our main results is given. To the best of authors' knowledge there has been no work done on the interval oscillation of conformable fractional impulsive partial delay differential equations.

**2. Preliminaries.** In this section, we present the basic definitions and the basic lemma that will be used in the proof of the main results.

**Definition 2.1.** A solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) is a function  $u \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [t_{-1}, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\bar{\Omega} \times [\hat{t}_{-1}, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$  that satisfies (1.1), where

$$t_{-1} := \min \left\{ 0, \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ \inf_{t \geq 0} t - \rho_j \right\} \right\}, \quad \hat{t}_{-1} := \min \left\{ 0, \inf_{t \geq 0} t - \sigma \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** The solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) is said to be oscillatory in the domain  $G$ , if it has arbitrary large zeros. Otherwise it is non-oscillatory.

**Definition 2.3** ([12]). Given  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the conformable fractional derivative of  $f$  of order  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$T_\alpha(f)(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t + \epsilon t^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{\epsilon}$$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

If  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -differentiable in some interval  $(0, a)$ ,  $a > 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f^{(\alpha)}(t)$  exists, then define

$$f^{(\alpha)}(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f^{(\alpha)}(t).$$

**Definition 2.4.**  $I_\alpha^a(f)(t) = I_1^a(t^{\alpha-1}f) = \int_a^t \frac{f(x)}{x^{1-\alpha}} dx$ , where the integral is the usual Riemann improper integral, and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([1]). Let  $f$  be a function with  $n$  variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . The conformable partial derivative of  $f$  of order  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  in  $x_i$  is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x_i^\alpha} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + \epsilon x_i^{1-\alpha}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Conformable fractional derivatives have the following properties:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $f, g$  be  $\alpha$ -differentiable at some point  $t > 0$ . Then*

- (i)  $T_\alpha(af + bg) = aT_\alpha(f) + bT_\alpha(g)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii)  $T_\alpha(t^p) = pt^{p-\alpha}$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iii)  $T_\alpha(\lambda) = 0$  for all constant functions  $f(t) = \lambda$ .
- (iv)  $T_\alpha(fg) = fT_\alpha(g) + gT_\alpha(f)$ .
- (v)  $T_\alpha\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{gT_\alpha(f) - fT_\alpha(g)}{g^2}$ .
- (vi) If  $f$  is differentiable, then  $T_\alpha(f)(t) = t^{1-\alpha}\frac{df}{dt}(t)$ .

For convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$Y(t) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx, \quad \text{where } |\Omega| = \int_{\Omega} dx,$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad F(t) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} F(x, t) dx.$$

The following lemma will be the basic tool in proving the main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If the impulsive conformable fractional differential inequality*

$$(2.2) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &T_\alpha[r(t)g(T_\alpha(Y(t)))] + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i q_i(t)Y(t - \sigma) \leq F(t), \quad t \neq t_k, \\ &a_k^* \leq \frac{Y(t_k^+)}{Y(t_k)} \leq a_k, \quad b_k^* \leq \frac{T_\alpha(Y(t_k^+))}{T_\alpha(Y(t_k))} \leq b_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \right\}$$

*has no eventually positive solution, then every solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) is oscillatory in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that there exists a nonoscillatory solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) and  $u(x, t) > 0$ . By the assumptions, there exists  $t_1 > t_0 > 0$  such that  $t - \sigma \geq t_1$  and  $t - \rho_j \geq t_1$  for  $t \geq t_1 > 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t - \sigma) &> 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t - \sigma) \in \Omega \times [t_1, \infty), \\ u(x, t - \rho_j) &> 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t - \rho_j) \in \Omega \times [t_1, \infty), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying both sides of the main equation in (1.1) by  $\frac{1}{|\Omega|}$  and integrating with respect to  $x$  over the domain  $\Omega$ , we obtain  $t \geq t_1$  and  $t \neq t_k$ ,

$k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$(2.3) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} \left[ r(t)g \left( \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u(x, t) dx \right) \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega q_i(x, t) f_i(u(x, t - \sigma)) dx \\ & = a(t) \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega \Delta u(x, t) dx + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t) \int_\Omega \Delta u(x, t - \rho_j) dx \\ & + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega F(x, t) dx. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

From Green's formula and boundary condition (1.2) we see that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \Delta u(x, t) dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \gamma} dS \\ &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu(x, t) u(x, t) dS \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \Delta u(x, t - \rho_j) dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u(x, t - \rho_j)}{\partial \gamma} dS \\ &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu(x, t) u(x, t - \rho_j) dS \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $dS$  is the surface element on  $\partial\Omega$ . Moreover by  $(H_1)$ , it follows that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Omega q_i(x, t) f_i(u(x, t - \sigma)) dx &\geq q_i(t) \int_\Omega f_i(u(x, t - \sigma)) dx \\ &\geq k_i q_i(t) \int_\Omega u(x, t - \sigma) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.3)–(2.6), we obtain

$$T_\alpha [r(t)g(T_\alpha(Y(t)))] + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i q_i(t) Y(t - \sigma) \leq F(t), \quad t \neq t_k.$$

For  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $t = t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , from the boundary conditions we see that

$$a_k^* \leq \frac{u(x, t_k^+)}{u(x, t_k)} \leq a_k, \quad b_k^* \leq \frac{\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t_k^+)}{\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(x, t_k)} \leq b_k.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $\frac{1}{|\Omega|}$ , integrating with respect to  $x$  over the domain  $\Omega$ , and using  $(H_5)$ , we obtain

$$a_k^* \leq \frac{Y(t_k^+)}{Y(t_k)} \leq a_k, \quad b_k^* \leq \frac{T_\alpha(Y(t_k^+))}{T_\alpha(Y(t_k))} \leq b_k.$$

Hence we see that  $Y(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of (2.2). This contradicts our assumption and complete the proof.  $\square$

**3. Main Results.** In this section, we establish some new interval oscillation criteria for (1.1) by using the Riccati transformation technique and integral average method. For simplicity, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0(c_l, d_l) := & \int_{c_l}^{t_{I(c_l)+1}} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(c_l)}^l(t) \right] dt \\ & + \sum_{k=I(c_l)+1}^{I(d_l)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_k^l(t) \right] dt \\ & + \int_{t_{I(d_l)}}^{d_l} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(d_l)}^l(t) \right] dt \\ & + \int_{c_l}^{d_l} (1 - \alpha) t^{-\alpha} v^2(t) w(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i q_i(t)$  and

$$M_k^l(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma \alpha}{\sigma \alpha a_k + b_k (t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha)} \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - (t_k - \sigma)^\alpha}{t_k^\alpha - (t_k - \sigma)^\alpha}, & t \in (t_k, t_k + \sigma), \\ \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}, & t \in [t_k + \sigma, t_{k+1}). \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_6)$  hold. Furthermore, assume there exist  $c_l, d_l$  satisfying  $T \leq c_1 < d_1, T \leq c_2 < d_2$  for any  $T \geq 0$ .*

(i)  $\int_{t_0}^\infty s^{\alpha-1} g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)}\right) ds = \infty$ .

(ii) *Assume that  $v(t) \in L_v(c_l, d_l)$  is such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma_0(c_l, d_l) < r_l \Theta_{c_l}^{d_l} [v^2(t)]$$

for  $I(c_l) < I(d_l), l = 1, 2$ , then every solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary that  $u(x, t)$  is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1)–(1.2). Without loss of generality we may assume that  $u(x, t)$  is an eventually positive solution of (1.1)–(1.2). Then there exists  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $u(x, t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . Therefore, from (2.2) it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad T_\alpha [r(t)g(T_\alpha(Y(t)))] \leq F(t) - Q(t)Y(t - \sigma) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_1, \infty).$$

Thus  $T_\alpha(Y(t)) \geq 0$  or  $T_\alpha(Y(t)) < 0, t \geq t_1$ , for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$ . We now claim that

$$(3.3) \quad T_\alpha(Y(t)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1.$$

Suppose not, then  $T_\alpha(Y(t)) < 0$  and there exists  $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)$  such that  $T_\alpha(Y(t_2)) < 0$ . Since  $r(t)g(T_\alpha(Y(t)))$  is strictly decreasing in  $[t_1, \infty)$ , it is clear that

$$r(t)g(T_\alpha(Y(t))) < r(t_2)g(T_\alpha(Y(t_2))) := -c,$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant. For  $t \in [t_2, \infty)$ , after integrating the above inequality from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we have

$$Y(t) \leq Y(t_2) - c_1 \int_{t_2}^t s^{\alpha-1} g^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{r(s)} \right) ds.$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} Y(t) = -\infty$ . This contradiction shows that (3.3) holds. Define the Riccati transformation

$$(3.4) \quad w(t) := \frac{r(t)g(T_\alpha(Y(t)))}{Y(t)}.$$

It follows from (2.2) that  $w(t)$  satisfies

$$T_\alpha(w(t)) \leq \frac{F(t)}{Y(t)} - Q(t) \frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)} - \frac{w^2(t)}{\delta r(t)}.$$

By assumption  $(H_6)$ , we can choose  $c_1, d_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $r(t) > 0, q(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [c_1 - \sigma, d_1]$  and  $F(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [c_1 - \sigma, d_1]$ . From (2.2), we can easily see that

$$(3.5) \quad T_\alpha(w(t)) \leq -Q(t) \frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)} - \frac{w^2(t)}{\delta r(t)}.$$

For  $t = t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$(3.6) \quad w(t_k^+) := \frac{r(t_k^+)g(T_\alpha(Y(t_k^+)))}{Y(t_k^+)} \leq \frac{b_k}{a_k} w(t_k).$$

First, we consider the case when  $I(c_1) < I(d_1)$ . In this case, all the impulsive moments in  $[c_1, d_1]$  are  $t_{I(c_1)+1}, t_{I(c_1)+2}, \dots, t_{I(d_1)}$ . Choose  $v(t) \in L_v(c_1, d_1)$ . Multiplying both sides of (3.5) by  $v^2(t)$  and integrating the resulting inequality from  $c_1$  to  $d_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{c_1}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}} v^2(t) t^{1-\alpha} w'(t) dt + \int_{t_{I(c_1)+1}}^{t_{I(c_1)+2}} v^2(t) t^{1-\alpha} w'(t) dt + \dots \\ & \quad + \int_{t_{I(d_1)}}^{d_1} v^2(t) t^{1-\alpha} w'(t) dt \\ & \leq - \int_{c_1}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}} v^2(t) \frac{w^2(t)}{\delta r(t)} dt - \int_{t_{I(c_1)+1}}^{t_{I(c_1)+2}} v^2(t) \frac{w^2(t)}{\delta r(t)} dt - \dots \\ & \quad - \int_{t_{I(d_1)}}^{d_1} v^2(t) \frac{w^2(t)}{\delta r(t)} dt - \int_{c_1}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}} v^2(t) Q(t) \frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)} dt \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_{t_{I(c_1)+1}}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}+\sigma} v^2(t)Q(t)\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}dt \\
 & - \int_{t_{I(c_1)+1}+\sigma}^{t_{I(c_1)+2}} v^2(t)Q(t)\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}dt - \dots \\
 & - \int_{t_{I(d_1)-1}+\sigma}^{t_{I(d_1)}} v^2(t)Q(t)\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}dt - \int_{t_{I(d_1)}}^{d_1} v^2(t)Q(t)\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts on the left-hand side, and noting that  $v(c_1) = v(d_1) = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(d_1)} v^2(t_k)t_k^{1-\alpha} [w(t_k) - w(t_k^+)] \\
 & \leq - \int_{c_1}^{d_1} \left[ \frac{v(t)w(t)}{\sqrt{\delta r(t)}} - v'(t)t^{1-\alpha}\sqrt{\delta r(t)} \right]^2 dt \\
 & \quad - \int_{c_1}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}} v^2(t)Q(t)\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}dt \\
 (3.7) \quad & - \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(d_1)-1} \left[ \int_{t_k}^{t_k+\sigma} v^2(t)Q(t)\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}dt \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_{t_k+\sigma}^{t_{k+1}} v^2(t)Q(t)\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}dt \right] \\
 & - \int_{t_{I(d_1)}}^{d_1} v^2(t)Q(t)\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}dt + \int_{c_1}^{d_1} t^{2-2\alpha}\delta r(t)(v'(t))^2 dt \\
 & + \int_{c_1}^{d_1} (1-\alpha)t^{-\alpha}p^2(t)w(t)dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

We consider several cases to estimate  $\frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)}$ .

**Case 1:** For  $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \subset [c_1, d_1]$ . If  $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \subset [c_1, d_1]$ , since  $t_{k+1} - t_k > \sigma$ , we consider two subcases:

**Case 1.1:** If  $t \in [t_k + \sigma, t_{k+1}]$ , then  $t - \sigma \in [t_k, t_{k+1} - \sigma]$  and there are no impulsive moments in  $(t - \sigma, t)$ . Then, for any  $t \in [t_k + \sigma, t_{k+1}]$  we have

$$Y(t) - Y(t_k^+) = T_\alpha(Y(\xi)) \left( \frac{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}{\alpha} \right), \quad \xi \in (t_k, t).$$

From this,

$$Y(t) \geq T_\alpha(Y(\xi)) \left( \frac{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}{\alpha} \right).$$

We obtain

$$\frac{T_\alpha(Y(t))}{Y(t)} < \frac{\alpha}{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}.$$

Integrating it from  $t - \sigma$  to  $t$ , we have

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}.$$

**Case 1.2:** If  $t \in (t_k, t_k + \sigma)$ , then  $t - \sigma \in (t_k - \sigma, t_k)$  and there is an impulsive moment  $t_k$  in  $(t - \sigma, t)$ . Similarly to Case 1.1, we obtain

$$Y(t) - Y(t_k - \sigma) = T_\alpha(Y(\xi_1)) \left( \frac{t^\alpha - (t_k - \sigma)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right), \quad \xi_1 \in (t_k - \sigma, t_k]$$

or

$$\frac{T_\alpha(Y(t))}{Y(t)} < \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \frac{1}{t^\alpha - (t_k - \sigma)^\alpha}.$$

Integrating it from  $t - \sigma$  to  $t$ , we get

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t_k)} > \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - (t_k - \sigma)^\alpha}{t_k^\alpha - (t_k - \sigma)^\alpha} > 0, \quad t \in (t_k, t_k + \sigma).$$

For any  $t \in (t_k, t_k + \sigma)$  we have

$$Y(t) - Y(t_k^+) \leq T_\alpha(Y(t_k^+)) \left( \frac{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}{\alpha} \right).$$

Using the impulsive conditions in equation (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) - a_k Y(t_k) &< b_k T_\alpha(Y(t_k)) \left( \frac{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}{\alpha} \right) \\ \frac{Y(t)}{Y(t_k)} &< b_k \frac{T_\alpha(Y(t_k))}{Y(t_k)} \left( \frac{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}{\alpha} \right) + a_k. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\frac{T_\alpha(Y(t_k))}{Y(t_k)} < \frac{1}{\sigma}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{Y(t)}{Y(t_k)} < a_k + \frac{b_k}{\sigma} \left( \frac{t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha}{\alpha} \right).$$

That is,

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{Y(t_k)}{Y(t)} > \frac{\sigma \alpha}{\sigma \alpha a_k + b_k (t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha)}.$$

From (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \frac{\sigma \alpha}{\sigma \alpha a_k + b_k (t^\alpha - t_k^\alpha)} \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - (t_k - \sigma)^\alpha}{t_k^\alpha - (t_k - \sigma)^\alpha} \geq 0.$$

**Case 2:** If  $t \in [c_1, t_{I(c_1)+1}]$ , we consider three subcases:

**Case 2.1:** If  $t_{I(c_1)} > c_1 - \sigma$  and  $t \in [t_{I(c_1)} + \sigma, t_{I(c_1)+1}]$ , then  $t - \sigma \in$

$[t_{I(c_1)}, t_{I(c_1)+1} - \sigma]$  and there are no impulsive moments in  $(t - \sigma, t)$ . Proceeding as in Case 1.1 and using the Mean-value Theorem on  $(t_{I(c_1)}, t_{I(c_1)+1}]$ , we get

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - t_{I(c_1)}^\alpha}{t^\alpha - t_{I(c_1)}^\alpha} > 0, \quad t \in [t_{I(c_1)} + \sigma, t_{I(c_1)+1}].$$

**Case 2.2:** If  $t_{I(c_1)} > c_1 - \sigma$  and  $t \in [c_1, t_{I(c_1)} + \sigma)$ , then  $t - \sigma \in [c_1 - \sigma, t_{I(c_1)})$  and there is an impulsive moment  $t_{I(c_1)}$  in  $(t - \sigma, t)$ . Making a similar analysis as in Case 1.2, we have

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \frac{\sigma\alpha}{\sigma\alpha_{I(c_1)} + b_{I(c_1)}(t^\alpha - t_{I(c_1)}^\alpha)} \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - (t_{I(c_1)} - \sigma)^\alpha}{t_{I(c_1)}^\alpha - (t_{I(c_1)} - \sigma)^\alpha} \geq 0,$$

$t \in (c_1, t_{I(c_1)} + \sigma)$ .

**Case 2.3:** If  $t_{I(c_1)} < c_1 - \sigma$ , then for any  $t \in [c_1, t_{I(c_1)+1}]$ ,  $t - \sigma \in [c_1 - \sigma, t_{I(c_1)+1} - \sigma]$  and there are no impulsive moments in  $(t - \sigma, t)$ . Working as in Case 1.1, we get

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - t_{I(c_1)}^\alpha}{t^\alpha - t_{I(c_1)}^\alpha} > 0, \quad t \in [c_1, t_{I(c_1)+1}].$$

**Case 3:** For  $t \in (t_{I(d_1)}, d_1]$  we consider three subcases:

**Case 3.1:** If  $t_{I(d_1)} + \sigma < d_1$  and  $t \in [t_{I(d_1)} + \sigma, d_1]$ , then  $t - \sigma \in [t_{I(d_1)}, d_1 - \sigma]$  and there are no impulsive moments in  $(t - \sigma, t)$ . Using a similar analysis as in Case 2.1, we have

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - t_{I(d_1)}^\alpha}{t^\alpha - t_{I(d_1)}^\alpha} > 0, \quad t \in [t_{I(d_1)} + \sigma, d_1].$$

**Case 3.2:** If  $t_{I(d_1)} + \sigma < d_1$  and  $t \in [t_{I(d_1)}, t_{I(d_1)} + \sigma)$ , then  $t - \sigma \in [t_{I(d_1)} - \sigma, t_{I(d_1)})$  and there is an impulsive moment  $t_{I(d_1)}$  in  $(t - \sigma, t)$ . Using a similar analysis as in Case 2.2, we obtain

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \frac{\sigma\alpha}{\sigma\alpha_{I(d_1)} + b_{I(d_1)}(t^\alpha - t_{I(d_1)}^\alpha)} \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - (t_{I(d_1)} - \sigma)^\alpha}{t_{I(d_1)}^\alpha - (t_{I(d_1)} - \sigma)^\alpha} \geq 0.$$

**Case 3.3:** If  $t_{I(d_1)} + \sigma \geq d_1$ , then for any  $t \in (t_{I(d_1)}, d_1]$  we get  $t - \sigma \in (t_{I(d_1)} - \sigma, d_1 - \sigma]$  and there is an impulsive moment  $t_{I(d_1)}$  in  $(t - \sigma, t)$ . Proceeding as in Case 3.2, we get

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \frac{\sigma\alpha}{\sigma\alpha_{I(d_1)} + b_{I(d_1)}(t^\alpha - t_{I(d_1)}^\alpha)} \frac{(t - \sigma)^\alpha - (t_{I(d_1)} - \sigma)^\alpha}{t_{I(d_1)}^\alpha - (t_{I(d_1)} - \sigma)^\alpha} \geq 0.$$

Combining all these cases, we have

$$\frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} > \begin{cases} M_{I(c_1)}^1(t) & \text{for } t \in [c_1, t_{I(c_1)+1}], \\ M_k^1(t) & \text{for } t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = I(c_1) + 1, \dots, I(d_1) - 1, \\ M_{I(d_1)}^1(t) & \text{for } t \in (t_{I(d_1)+1}, d_1]. \end{cases}$$

Hence, and since  $r(t)g(T_\alpha(Y(t)))$  is non-increasing in  $(c_1, t_{I(c_1)+1}]$ , by (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(d_1)} v^2(t_k) t_k^{1-\alpha} [w(t_k) - w(t_k^+)] \\
& \leq \int_{c_1}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}} [(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} \delta r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(c_1)}^1(t)] dt \\
(3.10) \quad & + \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(d_1)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} [(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} \delta r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_k^1(t)] dt \\
& + \int_{t_{I(d_1)}}^{d_1} [(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} \delta r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(d_1)}^1(t)] dt \\
& + \int_{c_1}^{d_1} (1-\alpha) t^{-\alpha} v^2(t) w(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(t) & > Y(t) - Y(c_1) = T_\alpha(Y(\xi_2)) \left( \frac{t^\alpha - c_1^\alpha}{\alpha} \right) \\
& \geq \frac{r(t)(T_\alpha(Y(t)))}{r(\xi_2)} \left( \frac{t^\alpha - c_1^\alpha}{\alpha} \right), \quad \xi_2 \in (c_1, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow t_{I(c_1)+1}^-$ , it follows that

$$(3.11) \quad w(t_{I(c_1)+1}) < \frac{r_1}{t_{I(c_1)+1}^\alpha - c_1^\alpha}.$$

Similarly, we can prove that on  $(t_{k-1}, t_k]$ ,  $k = I(c_1) + 2, \dots, I(d_1)$ ,

$$(3.12) \quad w(t_k) < \frac{r_1}{t_k^\alpha - t_{k-1}^\alpha}.$$

Hence, from (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(d_1)} v^2(t_k) t_k^{1-\alpha} w(t_k) \left[ \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \right] \\
(3.13) \quad & \geq r_1 \left[ v^2(t_{I(c_1)+1}) t_{I(c_1)+1}^{1-\alpha} \frac{a_{I(c_1)+1} - b_{I(c_1)+1}}{a_{I(c_1)+1}} \frac{1}{t_{I(c_1)+1}^\alpha - c_1^\alpha} \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(d_1)} v^2(t_k) t_k^{1-\alpha} \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \frac{1}{t_k^\alpha - t_{k-1}^\alpha} \right] \geq r_1 \Theta_{c_1}^{d_1} [v^2(t)].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(d_1)} v^2(t_k)t_k^{1-\alpha}w(t_k) \left[ \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \right] \geq r_1 \Theta_{c_1}^{d_1}[v^2(t)].$$

Therefore, using (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{c_1}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(c_1)}^1(t) \right] dt \\ & + \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(d_1)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_k^1(t) \right] dt \\ & + \int_{t_{I(d_1)}}^{d_1} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(d_1)}^1(t) \right] dt \\ & + \int_{c_1}^{d_1} (1 - \alpha) t^{-\alpha} v^2(t) w(t) dt > r_1 \Theta_{c_1}^{d_1}[v^2(t)], \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.1). If  $I(c_1) = I(d_1)$  then  $r_1 \Theta_{c_1}^{d_1}[v^2(t)] = 0$  and there are no impulsive moments in  $[c_1, d_1]$ . Similarly to the proof of (3.10), we obtain

$$\int_{c_1}^{d_1} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(c_1)}^1(t) + v^2(t) (1 - \alpha) t^{-\alpha} w(t) \right] dt > 0.$$

This again contradicts our assumption. Finally, if  $u(x, t)$  is eventually negative, we can consider  $[c_2, d_2]$  instead of  $[c_1, d_1]$  and get a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Next, we establish new oscillation criteria for (1.1)–(1.2) by using the integral average method used in [21] for ordinary differential equations. Let  $D = \{(t, s) : t_0 \leq s \leq t\}$ , then the functions  $H_1, H_2 \in C(D, \mathbb{R})$  are said to belong to the class  $\mathcal{H}$  if

- (H<sub>7</sub>)  $H_1(t, t) = H_2(t, t) = 0, H_1(t, s) > 0, H_2(t, s) > 0$  for  $t > s$  and
- (H<sub>8</sub>)  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  have partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial t}$  and  $\frac{\partial H_2}{\partial s}$  on  $D$  such that

$$\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial t} = h_1(t, s) H_1(t, s), \quad \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial s} = -h_2(t, s) H_2(t, s)$$

where  $h_1, h_2 \in L_{loc}(D, \mathbb{R})$ .

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{1,l} &= \int_{c_l}^{t_{I(c_l)+1}} H_1(t, c_l) Q(t) M_{I(c_l)}^l(t) dt \\ &+ \sum_{k=I(c_l)+1}^{I(\lambda_l)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} H_1(t, c_l) Q(t) M_k^l(t) dt + \int_{t_{I(\lambda_l)}}^{\lambda_l} H_1(t, c_l) Q(t) M_{I(d_l)}^l(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_{c_l}^{\lambda_l} H_1(t, c_l) \left[ \frac{w(t)}{\delta r(t)} - t^{1-\alpha} h_1(t, c_l) - (1-\alpha)t^{-\alpha} \right] w(t) dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2,l} &= \int_{\lambda_l}^{t_{I(\lambda_l)+1}} H_2(d_l, t) Q(t) M_{I(\lambda_l)}^l(t) dt \\ &+ \sum_{k=I(\lambda_l)+1}^{I(d_l)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} H_2(d_l, t) Q(t) M_k^l(t) dt \\ &+ \int_{t_{I(d_l)}}^{d_l} H_2(d_l, t) Q(t) M_{I(d_l)}^l(t) dt \\ &+ \int_{\lambda_l}^{d_l} H_2(d_l, t) \left[ \frac{w(t)}{\delta r(t)} + t^{1-\alpha} h_2(d_l, t) - (1-\alpha)t^{-\alpha} \right] w(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_6)$  hold. Furthermore, assume that there exist  $c_l, d_l$  satisfying with  $c_1 < \lambda_1 < d_1 \leq c_2 < \lambda_2 < d_2$ . If there exists  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $(H_7), (H_8)$  hold and

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{H_1(\lambda_1, c_1)} \Gamma_{1,1} + \frac{1}{H_2(d_1, \lambda_1)} \Gamma_{2,1} > \Lambda(H_1, H_2; c_l, d_l),$$

where

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Lambda(H_1, H_2; c_l, d_l) \\ &= - \left\{ \frac{r_l}{H_1(\lambda_l, c_l)} \Theta_{c_l}^{\lambda_l} [H_1(\cdot, c_l)] + \frac{r_l}{H_2(d_l, \lambda_l)} \Theta_{\lambda_l}^{d_l} [H_2(d_l, \cdot)] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

then every solution of (1.1)–(1.2) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there is a non-oscillatory solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.2). Notice that whether or not there are impulsive moments in  $[c_1, \lambda_1]$  and  $[\lambda_1, d_1]$ , we should consider the following cases  $I(c_1) < I(\lambda_1) < I(d_1)$ ,  $I(c_1) = I(\lambda_1) < I(d_1)$ ,  $I(c_1) < I(\lambda_1) = I(d_1)$  and  $I(c_1) = I(\lambda_1) = I(d_1)$ .

Moreover, the impulsive moments of  $Y(t - \sigma)$  involve the following two cases:  $t_{I(\lambda_l)} + \sigma > \lambda_l$  and  $t_{I(\lambda_l)} + \sigma \leq \lambda_l$ . Consider the case  $I(c_1) < I(\lambda_1) < I(d_1)$ , with  $t_{I(\lambda_l)} + \sigma > \lambda_l$ . For this case, the impulsive moments are  $t_{I(\lambda_l)+1}, t_{I(\lambda_l)+2}, \dots, t_{I(d_1)}$  in  $[\lambda_1, d_1]$ . Multiplying both sides of (3.5) by  $H_1(t, c_1)$  and integrating from  $c_1$  to  $\lambda_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} H_1(t, c_1) T_\alpha(w(t)) dt &\leq - \int_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} H_1(t, c_1) Q(t) \frac{Y(t - \sigma)}{Y(t)} dt \\ &\quad - \int_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} H_1(t, c_1) \frac{w^2(t)}{\delta r(t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying integration by parts on the R.H.S of first integral we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} H_1(t, c_1)Q(t) \frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)} dt \\
 (3.16) \quad & + \int_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} \left( \frac{w(t)}{\delta r(t)} - t^{1-\alpha} h_1(t, c_1) - (1-\alpha)t^{-\alpha} \right) w(t) H_1(t, c_1) dt \\
 & \leq - \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(\lambda_1)} H_1(t_k, c_1) t_k^{1-\alpha} [w(t_k) - w(t_k^+)] - H_1(\lambda_1, c_1) \lambda_1^{1-\alpha} w(\lambda_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we divide the interval  $[c_1, \lambda_1]$  into several parts and calculate the estimation of  $Y(t-\sigma)/Y(t)$ , to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} H_1(t, c_1)Q(t) \frac{Y(t-\sigma)}{Y(t)} dt \geq \int_{c_1}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}} H_1(t, c_1)Q(t) M_{I(c_1)}^1(t) dt \\
 (3.17) \quad & + \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(\lambda_1)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} H_1(t, c_1)Q(t) M_k^1(t) dt \\
 & + \int_{t_{I(\lambda_1)}}^{\lambda_1} H_1(t, c_1)Q(t) M_{I(\lambda_1)}^1(t) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.16) and (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{c_1}^{t_{I(c_1)+1}} H_1(t, c_1)Q(t) M_{I(c_1)}^1(t) dt \\
 & + \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(\lambda_1)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} H_1(t_k, c_1)Q(t) M_k^1(t) dt \\
 (3.18) \quad & + \int_{t_{I(\lambda_1)}}^{\lambda_1} H_1(t, c_1)Q(t) M_{I(\lambda_1)}^1(t) dt \\
 & + \int_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} \left[ \frac{w(t)}{\delta r(t)} - t^{1-\alpha} h_1(t, c_1) - (1-\alpha)t^{-\alpha} \right] w(t) H_1(t, c_1) dt \\
 & \leq - \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(\lambda_1)} H_1(t_k, c_1) t_k^{1-\alpha} \left[ \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \right] w(t_k) - H_1(\lambda_1, c_1) \lambda_1^{1-\alpha} w(\lambda_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of (3.5) by  $H_2(d_1, t)$ , integrating from  $\lambda_1$  to  $d_1$  and following a similar procedure as above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\lambda_1}^{t_{I(\lambda_1)+1}} H_2(d_1, t) Q(t) M_{I(\lambda_1)}^1(t) dt \\
 & + \sum_{k=I(\lambda_1)+1}^{I(d_1)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} H_2(d_1, t_k) Q(t) M_k^1(t) dt \\
 (3.19) \quad & + \int_{t_{I(d_1)}}^{d_1} H_2(d_1, t) Q(t) M_{I(d_1)}^1(t) dt \\
 & + \int_{\lambda_1}^{d_1} \left[ \frac{w(t)}{\delta r(t)} + t^{1-\alpha} h_2(d_1, t) - (1-\alpha)t^{-\alpha} \right] w(t) H_2(d_1, t) dt \\
 & \leq - \sum_{k=I(\lambda_1)+1}^{I(d_1)} H_2(d_1, t_k) \left[ \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \right] w(t_k) + H_2(d_1, \lambda_1) \lambda_1^{1-\alpha} w(\lambda_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing (3.18) and (3.19) by  $H_1(\lambda_1, c_1)$  and  $H_2(d_1, \lambda_1)$ , respectively and summing the resulting inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{H_1(\lambda_1, c_1)} \Gamma_{1,1} + \frac{1}{H_2(d_1, \lambda_1)} \Gamma_{2,1} \\
 (3.20) \quad & \leq - \left[ \frac{1}{H_1(\lambda_1, c_1)} \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(\lambda_1)} H_1(t_k, c_1) \left[ \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \right] w(t_k) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{H_2(d_1, \lambda_1)} \sum_{k=I(\lambda_1)+1}^{I(d_1)} H_2(d_1, t_k) \left[ \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \right] w(t_k) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar method as in (3.12), we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad \left. \begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{k=I(c_1)+1}^{I(\lambda_1)} H_1(t_k, c_1) \left[ \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \right] w(t_k) \leq -r_1 \Theta_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} [H_1(\cdot, c_1)] \\
 & - \sum_{k=I(\lambda_1)+1}^{I(d_1)} H_2(d_1, t_k) \left[ \frac{a_k - b_k}{a_k} \right] w(t_k) \leq -r_1 \Theta_{\lambda_1}^{d_1} [H_2(d_1, \cdot)].
 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

From (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{H_1(\lambda_1, c_1)} \Gamma_{1,1} + \frac{1}{H_2(d_1, \lambda_1)} \Gamma_{2,1} & \leq - \left\{ r_1 \Theta_{c_1}^{\lambda_1} [H_1(\cdot, c_1)] + r_1 \Theta_{\lambda_1}^{d_1} [H_2(d_1, \cdot)] \right\} \\
 & \leq \Lambda(H_1, H_2; c_l, d_l),
 \end{aligned}$$



which is a contradiction to condition (3.14). In the case when  $u(x, t) < 0$ , we take the interval  $[c_2, d_2]$  instead of the interval  $[c_1, d_1]$  and proceeding as in the proof of this case, we get a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

In the following, we present an example to illustrate the results.

**Example 1.** Consider the following impulsive partial differential equation

$$(3.22) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \frac{\partial^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial t^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(x, t) \right) + \frac{m}{2} u \left( x, t - \frac{\pi}{8} \right) + \frac{3m}{2} u \left( x, t - \frac{\pi}{8} \right) \\ & = \frac{2t}{3} \Delta u(x, t) + \frac{5t}{3} \Delta u(x, t - \pi) + F(x, t), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad t \neq 2k\pi \pm \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad t \geq t_0, \\ & u(x, t_k^+) = \frac{1}{2} u(x, t_k), \\ & \frac{\partial^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial t^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(x, t_k^+) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial t^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(x, t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

for  $(x, t) \in (0, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , with the boundary condition

$$u_x(0, t) + u(0, t) = u_x(\pi, t) + u(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t \neq 2k\pi \pm \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Here  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q(t) = m/4$ ,  $q_1(t) = 3m/4$ ,  $m > 0$  is a positive constant,  $f(u) = f_1(u) = g(u) = 2u$ ,

$$F(x, t) = 2me^{-x} \left( \sin \left( t - \frac{\pi}{8} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \cos t \right),$$

$a_k = 1/2$ ,  $b_k = 3/2$ . Let  $\sigma = \frac{\pi}{8}$ ,  $t_{k+1} - t_k = \frac{\pi}{2} > \frac{\pi}{8}$ . Also, for any  $T > 0$  we choose  $k$  large enough such that  $T < c_1 = 4k\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} < d_1 = 4k\pi$  and  $c_2 = 4k\pi + \frac{\pi}{8} < d_2 = 4k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then there is an impulsive movement  $t_k = 4k\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}$  in  $[c_1, d_1]$  and an impulsive moment  $t_{k+1} = 4k\pi + \frac{\pi}{4}$  in  $[c_2, d_2]$ . For  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 = 1/2$  we have  $Q(t) = m/2$ , and we take  $v(t) = \sin 4t$ ,  $t_{I(c_1)} = 4k\pi - \frac{7\pi}{4}$ ,  $t_{I(d_1)} = 4k\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Then by simple calculation, the left-hand side of equation (3.1) becomes the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{c_l}^{t_{I(c_l)+1}} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(c_l)}^l(t) \right] dt \\ & + \sum_{k=I(c_l)+1}^{I(d_l)-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_k^l(t) \right] dt \\ & + \int_{t_{I(d_l)}}^{d_l} \left[ \delta(v'(t))^2 t^{2-2\alpha} r(t) - v^2(t) Q(t) M_{I(d_l)}^l(t) \right] dt \\ & + \int_{c_l}^{d_l} (1 - \alpha) t^{-\alpha} v^2(t) w(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{4}} \left[ 16t \cos^2 4t - \frac{1}{2}m \sin^2 4t \left( \frac{(t-\frac{\pi}{8})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (4k\pi-\frac{7\pi}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}} - (4k\pi-\frac{7\pi}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right] dt \\
&\quad + \int_{4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{8}} \left[ 16t \cos^2 4t - \frac{1}{2}m \sin^2 4t \left( \frac{\frac{\pi}{16} \left[ t^{\frac{1}{2}} - (4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{-1}}{\frac{\pi}{32} + \frac{3}{2}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \left( \frac{(t-\frac{\pi}{8})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (4k\pi-\frac{3\pi}{8})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (4k\pi-\frac{3\pi}{8})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right] dt \\
&\quad + \int_{4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{8}}^{4k\pi} \left[ 16t \cos^2 4t - \frac{1}{2}m \sin^2 4t \left( \frac{(t-\frac{\pi}{8})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}} - (4k\pi-\frac{7\pi}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{4k\pi-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{4k\pi} t^{-1} \sin^2 4t \cot t dt \\
&\simeq 148.099 - m 0.26613.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $I(c_1) = k - 1$ ,  $I(d_1) = k$ ,  $r_1 = 2$ , we have

$$r_1 \Theta_{c_1}^{d_1} [v^2(t)] = 2 \left[ \frac{a_{I(c)+1} - b_{I(c)+1}}{a_{I(c)+1} (t_{I(c_1)+1}^\alpha - c^\alpha)} \sin^2(4t_{I(c_1)+1}) \right].$$

Note that condition (3.1) is satisfied in  $[c_1, d_1]$  if

$$148.0775 < m 0.26613$$

and we can choose the constant  $m$  very large enough so that (3.22) holds. Therefore, condition (3.1) is satisfied in  $[c_1, d_1]$ . We can work similarly for  $t \in [c_2, d_2]$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.1, every solution of (3.22) is oscillatory. In fact,  $u(x, t) = e^{-x} \sin t$  is one of such solutions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Atangana, A., Baleanu, D., Alsaedi, A., *New properties of conformable derivative*, Open Math. **13** (2015), 889–898.
- [2] Bainov, D. D., Simenov, P.S., *Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications*, Longman, Harlow, 1993.
- [3] Chatzarakis, G. E., Logaarasi, K., Raja, T., Sadhasivam, V., *Interval Oscillation Criteria for Impulsive Conformable Partial Differential Equations*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **13** (2019), 325–345.
- [4] Chatzarakis, G. E., Logaarasi, K., Raja, T., Sadhasivam, V., *Interval oscillation criteria for conformable fractional differential equations with impulses*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes, **19** (2019), 354–369.
- [5] Chen, D. X., *Oscillation criteria of fractional differential equations*, Adv. Diff. Equ. **2012** (2012), 1–10.
- [6] Delbosco, D., Rodino, L., *Existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear fractional differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **204** (2) (1996), 609–625.

- 
- [7] El-Sayed, A. M. A., *Fractional-order diffusion-wave equation*, *Internat. J. Theoret. Phys.* **35** (2) (1996), 311–322.
- [8] V. Gafiychuk, B. Datsko and V. Meleshko, *Mathematical modeling of time fractional reaction-diffusion systems*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **220** (1–2) (2008), 215–225.
- [9] Grace, S. R., Agarwal, R. P., Wong, P. J. Y., Zafer, A., *On the oscillation of fractional differential equations*, *Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **15** (2012), 222–231.
- [10] He, J., *Some applications of nonlinear fractional differential equations and their approximations*, *Bulletin of Science and Technology* **15** (1999), 86–90.
- [11] Kalaimani, T., Raja, T., Sadhasivam, V., Saker, S.H., *Oscillation of impulsive neutral partial differential equations with distributed deviating arguments*, *Bul. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N. S.)* **61(109)** (2018), 51–68.
- [12] Khalil, R. R., Horani, M. Al., Yousef, A., Sababheh, M., *A new definition of fractional derivative*, *J. Com. Appl. Math.* **264** (2014), 65–70.
- [13] Kilbas, A. A., Srivastava, H. M., Trujillo, J. J., *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [14] Kubiacyk, I., Saker, S. H., *Oscillation of parabolic delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients*, *Comment. Math.* **42** (2002), 221–236.
- [15] Kubiacyk, I., Saker, S. H., *Oscillation of delay parabolic differential equations with several coefficients*, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **147** (2) (2002), 263–275.
- [16] Lakshmikantham, V., Bainov, D. D., Simeonov, P. S., *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific Publishers, Singapore, 1989.
- [17] Li, Q. L., Cheung, W. S., *Interval oscillation criteria for second-order forced delay differential equations under impulsive effects*, *Electron. J. Qual. Theor. Diff. Eq.* **2013** (43) (2013), 1–11.
- [18] Metzler, R., Schick, W., Kilian, H. G., Nonnenmacher, T. F., *Relaxation in filled polymers: a fractional calculus approach*, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **103** (1995), 7180–7186.
- [19] Muthulakshmi, V., Thandapani, E., *Interval criteria for oscillation of second-order impulsive differential equation with mixed nonlinearities*, *Electron. J. Differ. Eq.*, **2011(40)** (2011), 1–14.
- [20] Özbekler, A., Zafer, A., *Oscillation of solutions of second order mixed nonlinear differential equations under impulsive perturbations*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61** (2011), 933–940.
- [21] Philos, Ch. G., *Oscillation theorems for linear differential equations of second order*, *Arch. Math.* **53** (1989), 482–492.
- [22] Podlubny, I., *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [23] Ryabov, Y. E., Puzenko, A., *Damped oscillations in view of the fractional oscillator equation*, *Physics Review B* **66** (2002), 184–201.
- [24] Sadhasivam, V., Logaarasu, K., Raja, T., *Interval oscillation criteria for impulsive partial differential equations*, *Int. J. Math. and Appl.* **6(1-B)** (2018), 229–242.
- [25] Sadhasivam, V., Raja, T., Logaarasu, K., *On the interval oscillation of impulsive partial differential equations with damping term*, *Int. J. Engg. Sci. Math.* **6** (2017), 328–340.
- [26] Saker, S. H., *Oscillation of hyperbolic nonlinear differential equations with deviating arguments*, *Publ. Math. Debr.* **62** (2003), 165–185.
- [27] Samko, S. G., Kilbas, A. A., Marichev, O. I., *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Sci. Publ., Amsterdam, 1993.
- [28] Thandapani, E., Manju, E., Pinelas, S., *Interval oscillation criteria for second order forced impulsive delay differential equations with damping term*, *Springer Plus* **5** (2016), 1–16.

- [29] Vladimirov, V. S., *Equations of Mathematical Physics. Translated from the Russian by Eugene Yankovsky*, Nauka, Moscow, 1964.
- [30] Wu, J. H., *Theory and Applications of Partial Functional Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [31] Xiaoliang, Z., Zhonghai, G., Wu-Sheng, W., *Interval oscillation criteria for super-half-linear impulsive differential equations with delay*, J. Appl. Math. (2012), art. id. 285051, 22 pp.
- [32] Yoshida, N., *Oscillation Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2008.

S. H. Saker  
Mathematics Division  
Faculty of Advanced Basic Sciences  
Galala University, Galala New City  
Egypt

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Mansoura University  
Mansoura 35516  
Egypt  
e-mail: [shsaker@gu.edu.eg](mailto:shsaker@gu.edu.eg)

K. Logaarasi  
Department of Mathematics  
Vivekanandha College  
of Arts And Sciences  
for Women (Autonomous)  
Tiruchengode – 637 205  
Namakkal(Dt)  
Tamil Nadu, India  
e-mail: [logajoni@gmail.com](mailto:logajoni@gmail.com)

V. Sadhasivam  
PG and Research Department of Mathematics  
Thiruvalluvar Government Arts College  
Affil. to Periyar University, Salem – 636 011  
Rasipuram – 637 401  
Namakkal (Dt)  
Tamil Nadu, India  
e-mail: [ovsadha@gmail.com](mailto:ovsadha@gmail.com)

Received February 10, 2020